

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 586 OF 2005

PETITIONER

Shiv Nath Ram, S/o
Late Ramadhar Ram, Caste-Vird,
aged about 65 years, R/o
Kedarpur, Ring Road Ambikapur,
District Surguja (C.G.).

P.R. No. 491/05
Presented by Shri. B. M. P. P. P.
dated 07.02.05

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. The Auditor General, Accounts,
Chhattisgarh Raipur (C.G.).
2. The Collector, Surguja, District
Surguja (C.G.).

RECEIVED COPY
7.2.05
G. Bilaspur

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE
NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARI ETC.

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.: 586..... सन् 2005

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	9.03.2005 Smt. Hamida Siddiqui, learned counsel for the petitioner. Shri Sandeep Dubey, Government Advocate, for respondent no.2 on advance copy. Heard. The contention of the petitioner is that the petitioner who ~~was~~ ^{was} ³ working as Revenue Inspector has retired on 31.5.2001. It is also submitted that the petitioner was suspended on 03.11.2000 and the retirement has been effected during the period ^{9/12} suspension itself. Learned counsel further submits that after the retirement, certain retrial dues like gratuity, family pension, insurance, leave encashment and subsistence allowance etc., etc., have not been paid to the petitioner till date. She also submits that due to non-payment of the amounts, the petitioner is suffering a lot and his entire family is facing hardship. Learned counsel prays that presently this petition may be disposed of and the petitioner may be allowed to file a representation before the competent authority and the competent authority may be directed to decide the representation within the stipulated period granted by this Court.	

मामला क्रमांक सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश - 2 -	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	This limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is not opposed by the counsel for the State. Prayer is allowed. The petitioner, if so advised, may file a detailed representation raising his all grievances alongwith copy of this order and copy of the writ petition within 15 days from today before the competent authority and if such a representation if filed, the competent authority shall consider and decide the same on its own merits as early as possible preferably within a period of 4 months from the date of filing representation. With the above observations, the petition stands finally disposed of.	Sd/- Sunil Kumar Sinha Judge

[Rao]

17/3/05

9/6/05